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Preface

Some 20 years ago, Charles Margerison and I sat down to develop a language of teamwork. We were both intrigued as to why some teams ‘clicked’ and achieved great results whereas others failed even though they all started out with great promise. We thought that by researching teamwork we might be able to develop a model that would give teams a recipe for success.

Our early models, the Wheel twins – the Types of Work Wheel and the Team Management Wheel – are the subject of this e-book, the seventh in a series I have written to bring together all the personal, team and organizational development techniques that form the core concepts of Team Management Systems (TMS).

In 2004, we are still refining our approaches and developing new models. Team Management Systems is now used in more than 100 countries and is available in 12 languages. As specialists in teamwork we are proud to have helped over three-quarters of a million people make a contribution to improved teamwork.

Dick McCann
Brisbane, Australia
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Introduction

Every industry, sport and country has a unique language. The computer industry speaks in bytes, RAM, ROM, MIPS and nanoseconds; golfers speak about eagles, birdies, bogeys and albatrosses; and there are thousands of different languages spoken throughout the world.

To communicate effectively with people we need to understand their language. So it is with teams. To communicate effectively as a team and develop high performance we need to understand the language of teamwork.

About 20 years ago my colleague, Charles Margerison, and I sat down to develop a language of teamwork – a language that could be used to explain why some teams succeed and others fail, a language that could be used to develop teams from levels of mediocrity to levels of excellence.

Our language was built around two models of teamwork, developed from many interviews with managers and team members from all over the world, working in a variety of industries such as petrochemicals, manufacturing, banking and government.

The first model, the Types of Work Wheel, defines the nature of work in a team and the second model, the Team Management Wheel describes the preferences people have for the different types of work. Usually two or three aspects of teamwork are enjoyed but most people will have at least one area that they would prefer not to be involved in.

Our first approach to developing a language of teamwork was to interview hundreds of people to identify the key tasks that were essential in teamwork, regardless of the technical nature of the work undertaken. Eventually we were able to identify eight different ‘Types of Work’ or ‘work functions’ that could be arranged as shown above.

The Nature of Work

When work is a pleasure, life is joy
When work is a duty, life is slavery
(Maxim Gorky)

When work is a pleasure, life is joy
When work is a duty, life is slavery
(Maxim Gorky)

Our first approach to developing a language of teamwork was to interview hundreds of people to identify the key tasks that were essential in teamwork, regardless of the technical nature of the work undertaken. Eventually we were able to identify eight different ‘Types of Work’ or ‘work functions’ that could be arranged as shown above.
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The eight Types of Work identified were:

- **Advising** - Gathering and reporting information
- **Innovating** - Creating and experimenting with ideas
- **Promoting** - Exploring and presenting opportunities
- **Developing** - Assessing and testing the applicability of new approaches
- **Organizing** - Establishing and implementing ways of making things work
- **Producing** - Concluding and delivering outputs
- **Inspecting** - Controlling and auditing the working of systems
- **Maintaining** - Upholding and safeguarding standards and processes

Let's look at each of these types of work in turn, identifying each sector through an example.

---

**Advising** work is concerned with giving and gathering information. It involves finding out what others are doing in your area of work and ensuring that you are following best practice. Information may need to be gathered from articles, reports or books, or by meeting and talking with people. It means ensuring that you have all the information available for the team to make the best decisions and deliver the results.

---

**Innovating** is a key aspect of teamwork and involves challenging the way things are currently being done. Technology is changing so quickly that the way you are currently performing tasks may no longer be the best way. If you are not up-to-date in your practices, your cost structure may be too high or you may no longer be delivering competitive service. Innovating is essential for all teams. There are always better ways of doing things if you only take time to discover them.
Letting Everyone Know

A small IT department within a Government department was given the bad news that its budget for the following year had been cut by 25%. The manager was disappointed as it would mean losing another staff member and their new software program would have to be held over until the following year. He decided to appeal the decision and put forward a comprehensive paper outlining the plans for the following year.

The board was invited to an information session in the department where they were given first-hand experience of the new programs. The board agreed to waive the cut and increased the budget for the following year. The chairman said to the manager – "We had no idea what you did before this submission!"

The IT team decided that in future they would have to make sure that everyone was aware of their value to the organization. They began to issue a monthly newsletter and conduct regular information sessions for all staff.

To obtain the resources – people, money and equipment – to carry out your work, you have to 'sell' what you are doing to other people. Resources to implement new ideas will only be given if your team can persuade and influence people higher in the organization. Promoting to customers or clients both inside and outside the organization is also important if you are to continually deliver what people want.

Good idea – but not developed

A retraining program was launched which was designed to update skills in many different technical areas, from car mechanics to plumbing. It involved both on-the-job training and also attendance at lectures.

It attracted many applicants as their Advising and Promoting had predicted. On paper it seemed to be the perfect solution to keeping skilled people up with the advanced technology. However when the first applicant from a rural community applied, who met all the enrolment criteria, they discovered that it was not a universally viable program.

It cost the government $40,000 for each rural applicant, for accommodation and travel of both applicant and tutor. The program had to be withdrawn soon after they received an unexpected number of applications from outlying areas.

Many ideas don't see the light of day because they are impractical. The Developing activity ensures that your ideas are molded and shaped to meet the needs of your customers, clients or users. It involves listening to their needs and incorporating these in your plans. Developing will ensure that what you are trying to do is possible, given the resource constraints of your organization.

Biting Conference

A training and development organization planned to hold a conference in Far North Queensland in the hope of attracting participants from all over Australia. Port Douglas is an idyllic tropical resort and as the conference was to be held in winter, it would encourage attendance from many people in the south.

The committee did an excellent job and the conference was well attended. The program was varied and interesting. A few of the exhibitors were a little concerned that there had been a lack of information on the stands and no information on where to send their displays. Their worst fears were realized when they arrived to find that the exhibition hall had been downgraded to a poorly air-conditioned tent.
From registration on Tuesday evening to closure on Thursday evening, the conference declined. The committee discovered that they had seven parallel sessions and only five rooms. They hastily erected two white tents to house the sessions but then realized that the tropical location did not lend itself to this solution. Aggressive green ants, high temperatures and bright sunshine not only obliterated any electronic data projection but also made participation in the outdoor sessions unbearable.

The restaurant for the welcome session could not cater for the numbers and the extra barbecues needed were placed on wooden tables that burnt through, causing small fires. Many people did not get a meal that evening. There was an inadequate supply of white boards and flip charts. Many presenters had large rooms and few people attending while others had small rooms with large numbers of participants.

Few people stayed to the end of the conference.

In Organizing, the emphasis is on getting into action and making things happen. It involves organizing the team so that everyone knows what they have to do, how, and when. Clear goals have to be established and action taken to ensure that results are delivered on time and to budget.

McDonalds are a good example of an organization that focuses on Producing. Each store sells the same products whether you are in Japan, Australia, UK or America. You know exactly what you will get and you are assured a quick meal prepared under hygienic conditions.

Their products adhere to a worldwide standard, from the density of the buns to the protein and fat content of the burger fillings. They have regulations for how long the food is allowed to be kept for sale and strict disposal routines. This Producing system ensures reliable delivery of the product to common standards throughout the world.

Once plans are set up and everyone knows what has to be done, the team can concentrate on Producing. This activity focuses on delivering the product or service on a regular basis to high standards of effectiveness and efficiency. It is the Producing function that ensures the team keeps on delivering the required outputs.

Barings Bank will rue the day that they relaxed their controls on the systems and procedures within the bank. A rogue trader in the Singapore office was able to make stock trades and hide massive losses in hidden accounts.

Despite visits from head office accountants and regular reports, the trader was able to bring a multi-national, well-established bank to its knees. The fact that one person's transactions could destroy a bank that had been in business for many years highlights their lack of inspection procedures.

Regular checks on work activities are essential to ensure that agreed standards are achieved. Quality audits of your products or services will ensure that your customers or clients will remain satisfied. Inspecting also covers the financial aspect of work in your team, as well as the security, safety and legal aspects.
Phantom of the Opera is a long-running musical that has visited many cities throughout the world. Recently performers said that Maintaining was one of the most important activities for the cast to focus on.

They had been ‘organized’ to ‘produce’ a performance of outstanding excellence, which received rave reviews on opening night. However, after several hundred performances, standards had a tendency to slip. Singing might be slightly off key, the dance steps a little lethargic, the costumes frayed and the scenery chipped.

For them, Maintaining was the most important team function. Rehearsals were regularly needed to maintain excellence, sewers needed to maintain the costumes, and carpenters and painters required to regularly maintain the props.

Maintaining is an important activity that all teams need to focus on. Individually we all do it in our day-to-day life. We maintain our body (it takes longer when you are older!), we maintain our house and garden, and we send our car to the garage for its regular service. So it is with excellent teamwork, it needs to be maintained. It can take a long time to produce excellence but the slide back to mediocrity is quick without maintenance systems.

All teams need to uphold standards and maintain effective work processes. Maintaining ensures that quality standards are upheld and that regular reviews of team effectiveness take place.

We also identified another factor that seemed to be common (psychometrically) to the eight Types of Work, but was worthy of separate classification as it defined a process rather than a task. We called this factor ‘linking’ as it described actions that were responsible for coordinating and integrating the work of the team.

Every team member needs to make a contribution to this activity if the team is to be successful. It is placed in the center of the model because it is a process common to all the eight Types of Work functions. For example, those who have Inspecting as a critical function within the team must do it in a linking way to avoid being labeled a police interrogator. Those who have Organizing as a critical function must do it in a linking way to avoid being likened to ‘Atilla the Hun’.

The concepts of Linking were deduced from the interviews carried out with participants in the research study. When the key task aspects of individual team work were removed, there were a number of concepts left which grouped into activities best described as shared team processes. These were distinct from the eight Types of Work, which seemed to describe mainly the job demands of individual team members.

‘Linking’ comprises six people skills, five task skills and for the team leader, two leadership skills. Linking the tasks of the team is just as important as Linking the people. Without one, people suffer; without the other team outputs suffer. For the team leader, skills of motivation and strategy are required. The concepts of Linking Skills and the model of the Linking Leader are described in the e-book: Linking Leadership (McCann, 2004).

The eight Types of Work and the process of Linking make up the Margerison-McCann Types of Work Wheel.

Work functions lying close together on the model have similar defining characteristics whereas those that lie on opposite sides tend to be unrelated.
Many tasks at work can be conducted satisfactorily by a variety of different people, although often there are some aspects of the job that are more complex or demanding, and these will usually require special skills or areas of expertise to complete them to a high standard. The critical tasks therefore, are those that make the difference between a person who is a good performer in the job and a person who is a poor performer. Research has shown that knowledge of these critical factors can provide a strategic key to successful recruitment, selection, placement, personal and career development and appraisal.

**Reviewing Projects**

The Types of Work Wheel is a useful model to examine project management within a team. When a new project is undertaken, a starting point is the **Advising** function, where data are gathered about the project by referring to what others have done, by reading, talking to key people, and accessing databases available through intranet and internet facilities. This information then sets the scene within which the project can be undertaken.

Next the focus probably moves to the **Innovating** function where we try to fully understand the 'state-of-the-art' associated with the project and look to incorporating new ideas that will give the project a competitive advantage. Many new concepts can increase productivity by reducing costs or by increasing customer service.

Probably simultaneously with Advising and Innovating, the project team needs to focus on **Promoting**. Key stakeholders need to be influenced, particularly those in senior positions within the organization who have the power to make or break the project. Early influencing of these key people is a prerequisite for success.

When we have a good idea of the form the project might take and we have the support of key stakeholders, we can move to the **Developing** phase. Here ideas need to be turned into reality. This often means taking hard decisions to ensure that the project meets the needs of key clients and customers and fits within the commercial constraints of the organization. Impractical ideas need to be weeded out so that the project has a high chance of success.

The next function to focus on is **Organizing**. Here we need to assign responsibilities to team members, establish clear goals and reporting mechanisms and ensure that everyone knows what they have to do, how, and by when. Organizing people and resources efficiently is the basis of the Organizing function.

The **Producing** function is all about delivering the product or service. Very often a systematic approach is required to ensure delivery on time and to budget. The most effective projects usually have a
production plan that is constantly monitored and updated to ensure that outputs are delivered to the right quality.

**Inspecting** is an umbrella work function that covers many parts of project work. It means focusing strongly on budgets and financial auditing so that costs are controlled and revenue collected. But it also covers areas such as legal contracts, safety, security and quality issues. Successful projects often have a long checklist to ensure that all aspects of Inspecting are covered.

**Maintaining** is a very important support activity on all projects. Key work processes need to be set up and maintained so that the team is working to agreed standards. Issues such as project ground rules and ethics often form the basis of successful project implementation.

And of course there is **Linking**, which ensures that all the multitude of activities that make a project successful are coordinated and integrated. All team members have a duty in project work to take responsibility to keep others informed about what is going on. This usually covers Linking tasks together as well as Linking people together to achieve the tasks.

**Likes and Dislikes**

The Types of Work Wheel was extremely useful in categorizing the different work that goes on in teams. We found that some teams involved in production activities needed to focus more on Organizing, Producing and Inspecting. Other teams in research and development needed to focus more on activities associated with Advising, Innovating and Promoting. However all work types needed to be addressed to some level, if the team was to be successful.

However in meeting with team members to talk about work allocation, it became obvious that rarely would one person like all Types of Work. People showed distinct preferences for some work types and a definite dislike for others. In other words Work Preferences were a definite driver of how they liked to work. And so we turned our attention to developing a model of **work preferences** that would align with our Types of Work Wheel.

**Work Preferences**

*When we Practice what we Prefer we Perform better and gain Pleasure from our work*

Usually people work better in areas that match their preferences. The 'Law of the Four P’s' seems to apply here. We always tend to practice what we prefer. For example, you might prefer to play golf rather than squash: therefore at any opportunity you are more likely to be on the golf course rather than on the squash court. The more you practice golf the more likely you are to perform better at it and maybe even become perfect! So it is at work. We all tend to Practice what we Prefer and over time we become more Proficient in the areas of our preference. This then gives us Pleasure from our work.

**Measures of Work Preferences**

Is it possible to identify a person’s work preferences? Fortunately the answer is 'yes'.

In speaking with people primarily engaged in the various work functions - Promoting, Organizing, Inspecting, Advising, and so on – Charles Margerison and I found that those who really enjoyed their work showed common behavioral characteristics. Promoting people, for example, were commonly more outgoing whereas Inspecting people were quieter and more able to focus on the detail. Innovating people were obviously quite creative with ideas whereas Producing people were very much practically oriented. This then led us into attempting to find a relationship between the Types of Work Wheel and 'people' characteristics.

We initially experimented with the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® (MBTI)® as a way of measuring the characteristics of people but were not able to relate the data to the Types of Work Wheel, possibly

---
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because the MBTI attempts to assess a personality type across both 'work' and 'non-work' situations. We now know from our own work and that of others that work and non-work are often two distinct compartments in a person's life and that behaviors in each compartment can be totally different. Thus, someone who is outgoing at work may prefer to be quieter in a non-work situation, so as to 'recharge the batteries' for the next day.

Nonetheless, we believed that Jung's original work on Psychological Types (Jung, 1923) is a powerful way of identifying people differences and we therefore decided to use his ideas but adapt them to the work environment. In doing so we developed and validated four measures of work preference.

In the workplace there are four key issues at the heart of people differences. We describe these issues as:

- How people prefer to relate with others
- How people prefer to gather and use information
- How people prefer to make decisions
- How people prefer to organize themselves and others

These four issues are presented below as the RIDO scales (Relationships, Information, Decisions, Organization).

**Figure 4: Work Preference Measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How you relate to others</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extrovert</td>
<td>Introvert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How you gather and use information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practical</td>
<td>Creative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How you make decisions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Beliefs-based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How you organize yourself and others</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structured</td>
<td>Flexible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How You Relate to Others**

Maggie loved to work in an open-plan office and thrived on the noise of the busy office. If she needed to ask a question there were no doors and procedures – she could just go to the person's desk. She preferred to talk through her ideas or problems, as her thoughts seemed to crystallize as she spoke.

Maggie loved the weekly meetings, particularly when they had a problem to work through. She was also on the social committee and organized many of the after-work activities.

Sometimes she had difficulties interacting with the quieter people in the office. They accused her of opening her mouth before she had thought things through but she found she was much less effective if she just tried to work through problems on her own.

The door was always open for her colleagues as she enjoyed unanticipated interruptions. She found these interactions stimulated her thinking.

One of David's conditions on accepting a new position was that he would have his own space. He needed peace and quiet to concentrate and be free of constant interruptions. He disliked impromptu meetings because he had no time to prepare his contribution. He preferred to be given a problem to solve and would write down his solutions to present at the next meeting.
Sometimes he had difficulties interacting with his boss, Maggie. In meetings, she would suggest possible solutions to problems and, wanting to impress, David had gone away to develop the solution. Unfortunately Maggie’s ideas had often changed in the interim and David felt he had wasted his time.

David could be sociable when he wanted to. He wasn’t shy, but preferred his own company and didn’t need to be stimulated by regularly interacting with others.

Each day at work we have to relate with others to get the work done. People like Maggie prefer to do this in an Extroverted way, meeting frequently with others, talking through ideas, and enjoying a variety of tasks and activities. Other people, such as David, are more Introverted, preferring to think things through on their own before speaking, and generally not having a high need to be with others.

**How You Gather and Use Information**

There was nothing Peter liked better than a new project to put into practice. He liked to see the systems work efficiently. By the time the project came to him, all the prototyping was done and it was left for him to ensure it was implemented quickly.

He would read all the facts and figures and then apply them to a well-tested approach. His focus was always on the immediate output. Sometimes he had problems working with Gail who often suggested new ways of implementing projects using the latest project management techniques. But his first questions were always, "Who does it this way?" or "Can you prove to me that it is better than what we are doing now?"

He liked his down-to-earth approach and the tangible results he was able to achieve by working in this way.

Gail loved a challenge and whenever there was an opportunity to find a new way of breaking into a market, she was first to volunteer. She would spend hours looking at the information and playing with new concepts. Her ideas were sometimes impossible to implement but that never deterred her. Her colleagues admired her stream of ideas and she rarely disappointed them – even though she sometimes went off the subject and had to be reminded of the original task.

She admitted that she found routine work boring and nothing stimulated her more that looking for improvements to what was already working well. She was really pleased when she could show her boss, Peter, that there were better ways of implementing the projects for which he was responsible.

She realized that sometimes she didn’t always pay enough attention to details but to her details were boring, and besides, there were plenty of people around in the organization who could do this better than her.

In the process of relating with others, people will gather and use various types of information. They do this either in a Practical or a Creative way. Practical information gatherers, like Peter, prefer to work with tested ideas and pay attention to facts and details, whereas Creative information gatherers, like Gail, are future-oriented and always looking for ways to change and improve things.

**How You Make Decisions**

Marcus was the manager of the state branch of a large retailing organization. At the annual senior management meeting he was pleased to be able to talk about the growth in sales that his team had achieved during the last 12 months.

He had built up a happy team of people similar to himself, who had strong personal values about fairness and ethics in the workplace. During his presentation he sometimes mentioned one of his suppliers whom he felt had duped the organization and was now claiming that they were owed $50,000 for unscheduled work. Marcus passed off the problem by saying that he wasn’t paying this amount and had taken legal action. When asked by the general manager how much this might cost, Marcus replied, "Oh, we’re not sure but it could be as much as $100,000." When the general manager suggested that a better approach might be to negotiate a settlement, Marcus replied, "I don’t care how much it costs. It’s the principle that’s important. They’re not going to get away with it!"

For him decisions always had to be measured against personal values and beliefs. "Once beliefs are compromised," he was once heard to say, "It’s the thin edge of the wedge!"

He would be vocal if he felt that money was being used for purposes that did not align with the goals and values of the organization. He believed in the good work done by the organization and made all his decisions to support those beliefs.

Kate enjoyed her work as office manager. It was a constant round of budgets, meetings and staff problems. Over the years she had developed her own system of making decisions, which suited her and had been very successful.
the financial side of her job, she would pore over statements, analyze trends and calculate profit projections before making her final decision.

As for dealing with staff problems, she would interview them and try to find out as much about the problem as she could. She wanted always to be scrupulously fair and to do this she needed to gather all the facts. "Once you have the facts," she was overheard to say, "you can interpret them against the rules, to work out what the best solutions are." She was always happy to negotiate her decision with those affected, so long as they concentrated on the evidence and the facts, and didn't let their opinions get in the way. She had many problems in getting on with her boss, Marcus whose eyes seemed to glaze over whenever she presented him with her spreadsheets.

Once the information is gathered, it is necessary to make decisions. Some people, like Kate, go about this in an Analytical way, setting objectives and choosing those decisions that best meet the objectives. Others, such as Marcus, may prefer to make decisions based on their Beliefs, where personal principles and values are more important.

**How You Organize Yourself and Others**

Pauline started every working day by making herself a list of the tasks for the day and then prioritizing them. This way, she was less likely to overlook anything and she could work far more efficiently. She could cross off each task when completed and be able to gauge whether she needed to adjust her pace or leave something until tomorrow. Nothing pleased her more at the end of a day than having a list with lots of ticks on it.

Her desk was organized with an in-tray and an out-tray and she would be most upset if people ignored this and placed new work in her out-tray. She also disliked people who insisted on using post-it notes for messages and sticking them on her computer screen.

She was a punctual person and when she scheduled a meeting for 2:00pm, she started it precisely on time. She was usually very annoyed with Hayley who for some reason always arrived at least 10 minutes late, despite being reprimanded on many occasions.

Hayley's desk was the talk of the office. It was continually untidy and if she was away no-one knew where to begin to find a file. Hayley knew where everything was and even if it took a while to unearth it she knew in which vicinity to look. Others claimed that she was disorganized but she thought this was unfair – untidy, yes, but she always found what was required, even if it sometimes took longer than expected. She was also famous for her proposal submissions. She would spend weeks sifting through the information and finding out ever more interesting facts, but never begin to put it all together until a few hours before it was needed.

She always had her proposals in on the right day but barely made the 10.00am deadline – it was usually in by 5.00pm or pushed under the manager's door as she left for the day. She would then collapse into her chair, complaining how much pressure she was under.

Decisions have to be implemented within a team framework. Some people, such as Pauline, like a Structured environment, where things are neat and tidy and where action is taken quickly to resolve issues. Others, like Hayley, prefer to be more Flexible and to make sure that all possible information has been gathered before decisions are taken. They prefer to find out about situations and delay taking action until they are sure that all alternatives have been looked at.

**The Team Management Wheel**

*To business that we love we rise betime*  
And go to't with delight  
(William Shakespeare in Anthony and Cleopatra)

The Types of Work Wheel is a model about the nature of work. The four work preference measures focus on people rather than the work they do and indicate preferred behaviors in the workplace. The unique contribution of our research has been to combine these two models into a multipurpose model known as the Team Management Wheel. We were able to find a relationship between the work preferences and the Types of Work. For example, people with preferences for Extroverted relationships and Creative information gathering mapped most often into the Promoting area of the Types of Work Wheel whereas those with Introverted relationship preferences and Practical information gathering most often preferred Inspecting work. Those who liked Analytical decision-making and preferred to work in a Structured way showed a bias for Organizing work whereas those with Beliefs decision-making and a more Flexible approach to the way they organize themselves and others enjoyed Advising work.
The end result was the role preference model – the Team Management Wheel.

The Margerison-McCann Team Management Wheel is a role preference model that brings together the separate work preference measures into eight key roles that describe workplace behavior emanating from preferences.

The actual terms used in the Wheel came from discussions with people in the workplace:

- People who enjoyed Innovating work described themselves as creative. From this information we derived the name **Creator-Innovator** for those who enjoy coming up with ideas and experimenting to see if they work.
- Likewise, those who enjoyed Promoting work often said they saw themselves as explorers looking for new opportunities. The term **Explorer-Promoter** described this role perfectly.
- Those who like Developing plans and processes said they enjoyed assessing ideas and opportunities to see if they would work. We called them **Assessor-Developers**.
- Those who preferred Organizing people and resources said they liked thrusting into action to set dates, timetables and achieve results. So the descriptor, **Thruster-Organizer** was formed.

Similarly, the other roles received their names through the characteristics exhibited by people who particularly enjoyed the various work functions.

- **Concluder-Producers** - those who liked working in a systematic way to produce and deliver, and described themselves as having a preference for concluding assignments according to plan.
- **Controller-Inspectors** those who said they liked working on details to audit procedures and processes and therefore saw themselves as having an emphasis on control.
- **Upholder-Maintainers** - those who considered they had strong beliefs and principles and felt they would put a premium on upholding standards and values.
- **Reporter-Advisers** - those who said they enjoyed giving and gaining information, and liked to perform the reporting role for the team.

The Wheel has eight outer sectors named with 'double-barreled' words such as Explorer-Promoter, Assessor-Developer, and so on. The first word, for example 'Explorer', indicates the behavior exhibited by a person mapping into that sector whereas the second word is derived from the Types of Work Wheel. Brief descriptions of the team role preferences are given below:

**Reporter-Adviser**

Reporter-Advisers represent the classic advisory role on the Team Management Wheel. They are
excellent at gathering information and putting it together in such a way that it can be readily understood. If they are more introverted, they will probably rely on written formats for their information, whereas if they are more extroverted, they will be good communicators and probably rely on a network of colleagues and acquaintances for their data.

Reporter-Advisers are patient people who prefer to make sure they have all the information before they take action. This often causes others, particularly Thruster-Organizers, to accuse them of procrastination, but Reporter-Advisers will typically respond, "How can I take action unless I have all the information?" Thruster-Organizers, who often take action with only 20% of the information, can sometimes find this hard to understand.

Reporter-Advisers do not enjoy conflict and have 'antennae' that can detect a potential conflict well before it happens. Usually they will move to defuse the conflict or else position themselves well away from any direct effects.

**Creator-Innovators**

Creator-Innovators are people who are very much future-oriented and will enjoy thinking up new ideas and new ways of doing things. Usually they are very independent and will pursue their ideas regardless of present systems and methods. They may need to be managed in such a way that their ideas can be developed without too many organizational constraints. Sometimes organizations set up research and development units (often separated from the production units) to allow these people to experiment with ideas.

Creator-Innovators are sometimes accused (usually by their opposites on the Wheel) of 'having their head in the clouds', but this is usually because they are looking to tomorrow rather than worrying about today. They will tend not to be very structured in the way they go about things and may sometimes appear disorganized and absentminded. Some are more introverted, preferring to be back-room people working on their own or in small groups, on important problems. Others can be more outgoing and even zealous in the way they put forward ideas they really believe in.

**Explorer-Promoters**

Explorer-Promoters are excellent at taking ideas and promoting them to others, both inside and outside the organization. They enjoy being with people and will usually have a network of people that they use when gathering information and testing out opportunities. Often they are advocates of change and can be highly energized, active people with several different activities on the go at once. They enjoy being out and about and are good at bringing back contacts and resources that can help the organization move forward.

Explorer-Promoters are usually entrepreneurial in their approach and they can be very persuasive. They are often influential and can talk easily, even on subjects where they are not experts. They are excellent at seeing the 'big picture' and developing an enthusiasm for an innovation among other people. However they are not always interested in Controlling and Organizing and they may not pay sufficient attention to details. In this regard they will often benefit from having a Concluder-Producer or Controller-Inspector to work with, although they may sometimes have difficulties in interacting with these people.

Explorer-Promoters enjoy off-the-cuff conversations and need to interact with others to be at their productive best. It is not for them to sit for long periods in a back-room working alone on their problems - they need people to stimulate them. In this regard they can be energy giving but equally their effects on others can sometimes be energy draining.

**Assessor-Developers**

Assessor-Developers are located on the Team Management Wheel midway between the Explorers and Organizers and exhibit both these types of behavior. They may not always think up good ideas for themselves but they are excellent at taking the ideas of others and making them work in practice. They are usually sociable, outgoing people who enjoy looking for new markets or opportunities. They will then take the idea and match it to the opportunity, always mindful of the organizational bottom-line constraints. They often make good product development managers or people concerned with assessing new ventures.
Assessor-Developers usually display a strong analytical approach and are at their best with several different possibilities to analyze and develop before a decision is made. They like organizing new activities and respond well to such challenges, taking an idea and pushing it forward into a workable scheme. However, once the activity has been set up and been shown to work, they will often lose interest, preferring to move on to the next project rather than engage in the production and control of the output.

**Thruster-Organizers**

Thruster-Organizers are people who enjoy making things happen. They are analytical decision-makers, always doing what is best for the task even if sometimes their actions upset others. Their great ability is to get things done, and for this reason they are often found working in project management positions. They will thrust forward towards a goal, meeting conflict head-on if necessary. They emphasize targets, deadlines and budgets, and will ensure that people are organized to take action.

Thruster-Organizers will usually prefer to work to a plan and in a structured manner so that objectives are clearly set out and everyone in the team knows what has to be achieved and when. They excel at organizing people and systems so that deadlines are met. They will set objectives, establish plans, work out who should do what and then press for action. They tend to be task oriented and in their pursuit of goals may sometimes ignore people's feelings. This more than anything else gets them into difficulties with their subordinates and colleagues.

**Concluder-Producers**

Concluder-Producers are strongly practical people who can be counted on to carry things through to the end. Their strength is in setting up plans and standard systems so that outputs can be achieved on a regular basis in a controlled and orderly fashion. For this reason they usually do not like continual change, as it interferes with the efficient systems they have established for doing the work. This may sometimes cause them difficulties with Creator-Innovators and Explorer-Promoters who often suggest changes just when everything has been set up and is working well.

For Concluder-Producers the challenge lies not in dreaming up new ideas but in doing the work effectively and efficiently. Therefore they are often more patient than others with routine work, as the drive for them comes from a job well done. As a result they are sought after as managers for their ability to work in a quick, reliable, dependable and stable manner, and deliver results.

Research studies (CD-ROM: *Team Management Systems Research Manual 3rd Edition*) have shown that Concluder-Producers are in demand in the workforce - some 24% of a worldwide sample of 151,616 people had the Concluder-Producer role as their major preference.

**Controller-Inspectors**

Controller-Inspectors are quiet, reflective people who enjoy the detailed side of work and like dealing with facts and figures. They are usually careful and meticulous and can spend long periods of time on a particular task, working quietly on their own. This stands in direct contrast to the Explorer-Promoters who need a wide variety of tasks to engage their attention, and people around them with whom they can interact.

Controller-Inspectors are comfortable working within the rules and regulations that have been established in the organization. They would probably argue that the rules have been made to ensure that the organization works in the most efficient manner and therefore everyone should obey them. For this reason they enjoy working in situations where their output is guided by organizational or governmental regulations. We have found many of them working in finance, accounting, legal, security, administration and quality control positions, where their Inspecting preferences are important assets for the work they are doing.

**Upholder-Maintainers**

Upholder-Maintainers are people with strong personal values and principles, and these are of prime importance in their decision-making. Usually they have a high concern for people and will be strongly supportive of those who share the same ideals and values as they do.
They prefer to work in a control-oriented, supportive way, making sure that things are done according to their standards. In addition, they prefer an advisory role in the background rather than a leading executive role. However, because of their strong principles, they may confront issues that oppose their beliefs. They will not react in an extroverted, quick-tempered way but in a more resilient, obstinate manner that can sometimes be very irritating to Thruster-Organizers.

However the Upholder-Maintainer who is in support of the team is an invaluable member, providing guidance and help on issues that need to be well thought through in terms of principles rather than just 'economics'.

**Linkers**

At the center of the Team Management Wheel is the role of the Linker, which describes the responsibility everyone in a team has to ensure that relationships are established and developed.

The Linker circle can be ‘exploded’ into a full range leadership model that describes three levels of Linking that should be practiced, to varying degrees, by everyone in the organization.

**Figure 6: The Linking Skills Wheel**

![Linking Skills Wheel](image)

At the first level of Linking are the skills arranged around the outside of the model. These are the People Linking Skills:

- Active Listening
- Communication
- Team Relationships
- Problem Solving and Counseling
- Participative Decision Making
- Interface Management

They are six in number and create the atmosphere in which the team works, by promoting harmony and trust. As such everyone in a team has a responsibility to implement this level of leadership. Sometimes I call it ‘universal linking’. It is the first step on the ladder to the higher levels of leadership.

Inside the People Linking Skills are the Task Linking Skills. They create a solid core or foundation on which the work of the team relies. They promote confidence and stability.

- Work Allocation
- Team Development
• Delegation
• Objectives Setting
• Quality Standards

These skills tend to apply more to people on the second rung of the leadership ladder – those in more senior positions within a team, responsible for guiding others.

At the core of the Linking Skills Wheel are the two Leadership Linking Skills of Motivation and Strategy. Leadership Linking is the third step on the leadership ladder and applies to leaders that have organizational responsibility for strategy. They need to implement these two skills along with those of the People and Task Linking Skills to achieve the status of the Linker Leader.


**Measuring Role Preferences**

Role preference behavior in the workplace is easy to spot once you understand fully the model of the Team Management Wheel. For accurate results though, it is necessary to use the well-validated questionnaire that is available to determine a person’s position on the Wheel – the Team Management Profile Questionnaire (Margerison and McCann, 1994). This 60-item questionnaire produces a personal Team Management Profile of more than 5000 words giving key advice on individual work preferences. In addition the report contains information on leadership strengths, decision-making, interpersonal strengths, team building skills, and areas for self-assessment. The results are mapped onto the Team Management Wheel as a major role and two related roles.

**Applications**

The principles of TMS can be applied to any management or business problem. It can be used for personal development, team development, recruitment and selection, career planning, management development, performance review and organizational development - in fact in any application relating to improved ‘people’ performance. Over 6000 accredited TMS Network Members throughout the world have used TMS in a variety of ways. The CD ROM: TMS Case Studies Collection presents over 80 case studies of how the TMS language of teamwork has been used in these ways.

A common use of the Wheels is for personal development and valuing diversity. The case of ‘Joe’ explains how one of our Network Members made an outstanding intervention in this area.

**Joe**

Joe, who worked on a construction site, was placed in a Concluder-Producer role as a site engineer. He was most ineffective and caused others in his team great frustration.

Joe was at times called ‘stupid’. He failed to plan what he did not see needed planning, although in other ways he was most competent. He was incredibly flexible and saw no point in letting people know on a Monday what changes were to be made on a Thursday.

When he received his personal Team Management Profile, Joe discovered that he was a Creator-Innovator. The revelation changed his life. His role was eventually changed to that of a design engineer, which was much more aligned to his preferred way of working. Even before the change was made, he was less frustrated and happier because he now understood that he was not incompetent, merely working against his preferences. He preferred to work in a different way to what others in the team expected of him. He learned to rely on his second-in-command to pick up on his less preferred areas, particularly in the scheduling area.

Another use of the Wheels is in examining team balance and deciding on ways to achieve a better balance. The case below shows how another of our Network Members used the language of teamwork to do this.
Balancing a Team

The board of a company employing 1000 people in the computer industry came to us with a special version of a teamwork problem. They had over 140 unresolved issues and this was beginning to worry them.

We watched the team at work and looked at their Team Management Profiles. The managing director, who curiously was an accountant, was a strong Creator-Innovator and the rest of his board members were fairly well distributed around the right-hand side of the Wheel. There was an Explorer-Promoter, an Assessor-Developer, two Thruster-Organizers, a Concluder-Producer and a Controller-Inspector.

The problem was that every time the managing director came up with a new idea it was added to the list of unresolved issues. Once they saw their roles more clearly, the team members approached these issues and ideas in a different way. Whenever the managing director now comes up with a new idea, the Thruster-Organizer says 'how' and the Concluder-Producer says 'when'. The Assessor-Developer also tries to assess where that particular idea might fit into a queue of other ideas.

Previously the directors had regarded the managing director as someone special. Now they behave more as a team, challenging the managing director's ideas and trying to put them into some sort of organizational context. As a result, the number of unresolved issues has diminished to less than 20.

Many other examples of how to apply the Wheels in team development are given in the E-book: Developing Teams. (McCann, 2004a).

Conclusion

Tuckman (1965) presented the four stages of teamwork, which are now widely used by work teams throughout the world to assess their progress. The model describes the stages as follows:

Figure 7: Tuckman’s Stages of Teamwork Model

```
Forming
↓
Storming
↓
Norming
↓
Performing
```

Once teams are formed, they go through an unpleasant storming stage before ground rules and norms are established. Eventually the performing stage is reached. In the 1990s it was acceptable to take maybe six months or so to reach the performing stage. However, in the ’00’s, such is the speed of change and the intensity of competition that some teams have to get to good performance levels in six weeks or even six days!

Models such as the Wheel twins – the Types of Work Wheel and the Team Management Wheel - give a reliable and valid way of measuring and managing team performance, by generating qualitative and quantitative feedback data both from team members and outsiders. Problems can be diagnosed or even predicted before they happen. In managing team performance, clever work teams will use this information to bypass the storming stage and move quickly to the norming stage by generating ground rules which will prevent major problems from occurring. The team can then accelerate its progress to the performing stage.
The basis of the modern organization is the cross-functional or process team and these sometimes have short lives – perhaps only a few months. With the TMS language of teamwork they can accelerate to high performance and on the way develop a culture of lifelong, individual and team learning.
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